

TFAG.Peter.Boros

From: TFAG.Peter.Boros <TFAG.PeterBoros@BTinternet.com>
Sent: 22 September 2021 11:38
To: 'Duffield, Adrian'
Cc: 'Fox, Paula'; 'Bowerman, Emma'; 'Ken Arlett'; 'Sheridan Jacklin-Edward'; 'Fred Maroudas'; 'Chris Penrose'; Kester George (kesterpippa@talktalk.net); Leigh Rawlins; David Bartholemew (david.bartholomew@oxfordshire.gov.uk); 'Jo.Robb@southoxon.gov.uk'; 'Malcolm, Suzanne'
Subject: FW: Planning Consultation - P20/S2808/DIS - HAR
Attachments: letter_20092021230440309622.pdf; RE: P20/S2808/DIS
Importance: High

Dear Mr Duffield,

I spoke to Emma Bowerman on Friday last, just before she departed on holiday. She advised me that SODC had received further documents from Taylor Wimpey. She also said that those documents would contain Taylor Wimpey's confirmation as to why they were of the opinion that a further Planning Application was not required to be made by them in respect of the ground remediation works at Thames Farm, contrary to what you had previously informed residents was the required way forwards.

I subsequently received correspondence from SODC (2 items) namely the attached. There was nothing within the new documents that gave the reasons/support for the applicant failing to submit this information via a fresh Planning Application.

On 7 May 2021, you wrote to residents advising that you had written to Taylor Wimpey informing them that they would need to submit a further Planning Application in respect of the groundworks that they wished to carry out to prepare Thames Farm land to accept the development that was permitted under its original Planning Permission P16/S0970/O. I wrote to you on 8 May raising a variety of issues relative to the processes and procedures being followed, and this was a further document that also reminded you of the large number of unanswered correspondence that I had previously sent to both you, other members of your staff and also Mark Stone. The vast majority of those items of correspondence remain unanswered, but largely mentioned and queried procedural discrepancies over the handling of Thames Farms various applications.

My purpose in writing to you today is to seek clarification of how SODC intends to move forwards with this matter given that you have not answered earlier correspondence and questions and that now there is considerable uncertainty as to how SODC is proceeding with the matter and how many further iterations we might expect in this process, as there is undoubtedly weariness and fatigue with residents who are repeatedly being required to raise objections and concerns over what are essentially the very same issues albeit dealt with under each successive submission by the Applicant. The disputed way forwards is being played out via a succession of submissions with no clear end in sight.

Can you therefore please advise me and other residents promptly as to how SODC intend to proceed given you have requested a Planning Application to address the issue of the Groundworks and yet the Applicant has submitted considerable information with its email of 15 September, which on the face of the department's correspondence above, SODC is treating as an amendment to the current DIS application as opposed to dealing with the matter as a fresh Application.

I look forwards to hearing from you in the very near future please.

Thank You
Peter Boros